

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/980,375	11/29/2001	Ze'ev Ganor	013/02472	1331
44909	7590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
FENSTER & COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY 2002 LTD. C/O REED SMITH LLP 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			BUDD, MAR	K OSBORNE
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/980,375	GANOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Budd	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10-31 and 43-57 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10-31 AND 43-57 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/980,375

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10-31 and 43-57 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as unpatentable over Zumeris (140) in view of lino for the specific reasons set forth in the previous office action (7-15-04).

In response to applicants arguments it is noted that the claim language "wherein at least some of the electrodes are electrifiable to excite --- and the at least one power supply controls electrification to independently control --- vibrations so as to selectively generate different forms of vibration motion --- "are merely statements of desired function with no particular structure to support said function (i.e. not stated s a means plus function). Thus, such recitations are not given patentable weight. Courts have found that claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danly, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does". Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 909F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ 2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). (emphasis in original). However, even assuming, arguendo, that these phrases were deemed as limiting, they are clearly taught by Zumeris (140). The electrodes of Zumeris are both "electrifiable" and electrified to produce both longitudinal (x) and transverse (4) vibrations. In fact, each electrode can be separately, independently controlled (col. 8, lines 17-21 and 30-37) (col. 7, lines 17-21). Note, too, col. 5, line 662-col. 6, line 15 which teaches changing the phase of the X-mode while holding the y mode the same to reverse direction. To achieve this, the output motion is reversed, thus the relationship of x and y modes is independently changed.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/980,375

Art Unit: 2834

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Budd/ds

03/03/05

MARK U. BUUU PRIMARY EXAMINER